













# Sterillium





Internationally acclaimed, world renowned, most widely evaluated with more than 600 publications in international journals of repute, undisputed classic, hand rub among medical professionals for hygienic hand disinfection and surgical hand disinfection.



#### **Product properties**

- Best peer-reviewed hand disinfectant- since 1965
- **Excellent action**
- Provides very good residual effect
- Excellent skin tolerability even with long-term use

## Composition

Each 100 gms contains: 2-propanol 45.0 g, 1-propanol 30.0g, Mecetroniumethylsulphate 0.2g, emollients and Skin Protecting Substances.

## **Microbiology**

■ Bactericidal, Yeasticidal, Tuberculocidal, Mycobactericidal, Virucidal against enveloped viruses (incl. HBV, HIV, HCV) Adeno, Polyoma, Rota viruses.

### Areas of application

Sterillium® is used as ready-to-use alcohol based rub-in product independent of water and wash basin, to prevent infection, in all areas of health care and industry. where hygiene is important. It can also be used in home dialysis and travelling.

## Areas of application in detail: For Hygienic and Surgical **Hand Disinfection:**

■ In Critical Care Areas, ICCU, ICU, NICU, MICU AKD, Operation Theatres, Infectious Depts, Burns Dept, Laboratories, Ambulances, Treatment units Dental and Opthalmic Depts, Hospital canteen kitchens. **Emergency Medical Services,** Home care of patients, Home dialysis, etc.

Hygienic Hand Disinfection 30 seconds Surgical Hand Disinfection 1.5 minutes

#### **Directions for use**

3ml Sterillium® is rubbed undiluted into clean dry hands; be sure that the hands are completely covered during the application time. Keep special attention to fingertips and thumbs.

Optional use: with Elbow dispensing stands/ Cot stands.



CME for hospital personnel for training on the self-responsible rub-in method available on request.



# Alcoholic rub-in hand disinfectant

# **Spectrum of Activity:**

- 70 different strains of bacteria (gm+ve & gm-ve)
- 14 antibiotic resistant strains
- 6 types of Mycobacteria
- 7 types of fungi
- 18 types of viruses including HCV, Avian Flu, Swine Flu, Polyoma, Adeno, Sars and Ebola.

S.N.	Bacteria, Mycobacteria	
1	Acinetobacter baumannii	
2	Acinetobacter baumannii	ATCC 19606
3	Acinetobacter baumannii	multidrug-resistant
4	Acinetobacter calcoacetocus	
5	Acinetobacter Iwoffii	ATCC 15309
6	Bacteroides fragilis	ATCC 25285
7	Burkholderia cepacia	ATCC 25416
8	Clostridium difficile	ATCC 9689
9	Cholera-Vibrionen	
10	Citrobacter freundii	
11	Enterobacter cloacae	ATCC 13048
12	Enterobacter cloacae	
13	Enterobacter cloacae	ATCC 13047
14	Enterococcus faecalis	ATCC 29212
15	Enterococcus faecalis	vancomycin-resistant
16	Enterococcus faecium	ATCC 19434
17	Enterococcus faecium	antibiotic resistant
18	Enterococcus faecium	vancom ycin-resistant
19	Enterococcus hirae	ATCC 10541
20	Eschericha coli ( K 12)	NCTC 10538
21	Eschericha coli	ATCC 25922
22	Eschericha coli	ATCC 10536
23	Eschericha coli	ATCC 11229
24	Eschericha coli	CNCTC Ec 324 / 70
25	Eschericha coli EHEC	DSM 8579
26	Eschericha coli	0157: H 7
27	Eschericha coli	multidrug-resistant
28	Haemophilus influenzae	ATCC 19418
29	Klebsiella oxytoca	ATCC 43165
30	Klebsiella pneumoniae	multidrug-resistant
31	Klebsiella pneumoniae	ATCC 11296
32	Klebsiella pneumoniae	ATCC 4352
33	Klebsiella pneumoniae	
34	Listeria monocytogenes	
35	Listeria monocytogenes	ATCC 7644
36	Micrococcus luteus	ATCC 7468
37	Micrococcus luteus	ATCC 9341
38	MRSA	ATCC 33592
39	Mycobacterium smegmatis	CFB 022
40	Mycobacterium terrae	ATCC 15755
41	Mycobacterium tuberculosis	ATCC 27294
42	Mycobacterium tuberculosis	ATCC 25618
43	Mycobacterium tuberculosis	multidrug-resistant
44	Mycobacterium tuberculosis	H <sub>37</sub> Ra CIP 103471
45	Proteus mirabilis	ATCC 14153
46	Proteus mirabilis	ATCC 7002
47	Proteus vulgaris	
48	Proteus vulgaris	ATCC 13315
49	Proteus vulgaris	CNCTC PrO 10/52
50	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	ATCC 15442
51	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	ATCC 27853
52	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	antibiotic resistant

53	Pseudomonas aeruginosa		
54	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	ATCC 15442	
55	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	CNCTC Ps 79 / 70	
56	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	HM 116	
57	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	multidrug -resistant	
58	Pseudomonas aeruginosa		
59	Pseudomonas aeruginosa		
60	Pseudomonas aeruginosa		
61	Pseudomonas aeruginosa		
62	Salmonella enteritidis		
63	Salmonella enteritidis	ATCC 13076	
64	Salmonella typhimurium		
65	Salmonella typhimurium	ATCC 13311	
66	Serratia marcescens		
67	Serratia marcescens	ATCC 14756	
68	Serratia marcescens	CNCTC Sm 29 / 80	
69	Shigella sonnei	ATCC 11060	
70	Staphylococcus aureus		
71	Staphylococcus aureus	ATCC 29213	
72	Staphylococcus aureus	vancomycin – intern	nediate
		resistant(MRSA)	
73	Staphylococcus aureus	antibiotic resistant	(MRSA)
74	Staphylococcus aureus	ATCC 597	
75	Staphylococcus aureus	ATCC 6538	
76	Staphylococcus aureus	ATCC 9144	
77	1 2		
	Staphylococcus aureus	CNCTC Mau 43 / 60	
78	Staphylococcus aureus Staphylococcus epidermis	CNCTC Mau 43 / 60	
	Staphylococcus aureus Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus epidermis	CNCTC Mau 43 / 60 ATCC 12228	
78	Staphylococcus aureus Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus haemolyticus	CNCTC Mau 43 / 60  ATCC 12228  ATCC 29970	
78 79 80 81	Staphylococcus aureus Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus haemolyticus Staphylococcus hominis	CNCTC Mau 43 / 60  ATCC 12228  ATCC 29970  ATCC 27844	
78 79 80	Staphylococcus aureus Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus haemolyticus	CNCTC Mau 43 / 60  ATCC 12228  ATCC 29970	
78 79 80 81	Staphylococcus aureus Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus haemolyticus Staphylococcus hominis	CNCTC Mau 43 / 60  ATCC 12228  ATCC 29970  ATCC 27844	
78 79 80 81 82 83	Staphylococcus aureus Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus haemolyticus Staphylococcus hominis Staphylococcus saprophyticus Streptococcus faecalis Streptococcus faecalis	ATCC 12228 ATCC 29970 ATCC 27844 ATCC 15305 ATCC 6057	
78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85	Staphylococcus aureus Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus haemolyticus Staphylococcus hominis Staphylococcus saprophyticus Streptococcus faecalis	CNCTC Mau 43 / 60  ATCC 12228  ATCC 29970  ATCC 27844  ATCC 15305	
78 79 80 81 82 83	Staphylococcus aureus Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus haemolyticus Staphylococcus hominis Staphylococcus saprophyticus Streptococcus faecalis Streptococcus faecalis	ATCC 12228 ATCC 29970 ATCC 27844 ATCC 15305 ATCC 6057 ATCC 10541	
78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86	Staphylococcus aureus Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus haemolyticus Staphylococcus hominis Staphylococcus saprophyticus Streptococcus faecalis Streptococcus faecalis	ATCC 12228 ATCC 29970 ATCC 27844 ATCC 15305 ATCC 6057 ATCC 10541 ATCC 6303	
78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85	Staphylococcus aureus Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus haemolyticus Staphylococcus hominis Staphylococcus saprophyticus Streptococcus faecalis Streptococcus faecalis Streptococcus faecalis	ATCC 12228 ATCC 29970 ATCC 27844 ATCC 15305 ATCC 6057 ATCC 10541	
78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86	Staphylococcus aureus Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus epidermis Staphylococcus haemolyticus Staphylococcus hominis Staphylococcus saprophyticus Streptococcus faecalis Streptococcus faecalis Streptococcus faecalis Streptococcus pneumoniae Streptococcus pneumoniae	ATCC 12228 ATCC 29970 ATCC 27844 ATCC 15305 ATCC 6057 ATCC 10541 ATCC 6303	

S.N	Fungi, Yeast	
1	Aspergillus niger	ATCC 6275 / 70
2	Candida albicans	
3	Candida albicans	ATCC 10231
4	Candida tropicalis	STCC 1400
5	Epidermopphyton floccosun	n
6	Microsporum gypseum	
7	Trichophyton mentagrophyte	es

S.N	Viruses	
1	Adeno	Type 5 strain Adenoid 75
2	BVDV	Stamm NADL
3	HBV	
4	Herpes simplex	Type 1 strain HFEM
5	Herpes simplex	Type 1 strain RR
6	Herpes simplex	Type 1 MS, ATCC VR-540
7	Herpes simplex	Type 2 strain D 316
8	Herpes simplex	Type 2 MacIntyre, ATCC VR 539
9	Herpes simplex	Type 2 strain DD
10	HIV	
11	Influenza A	ATCC VR-544
12	Polyoma	Strain 777
13	Rota	strain WA
14	Rota	strain RIT 4237
15	Vaccinia	strain Elstree
16	H5N1	Avian Flu
17	H1N1	Swine Flu
18	Ebola	Ebola Haemorrhagic Fever



#### Proven efficacy

Bacteria and fungi			
Phase 2 / Step 2	Efficacy according to EN Phase 2 / Step 2 (Practical tests)	Hygienic Hand Disinfection (EN 1500)	30 sec.
		Surgical Hand Disinfection (EN 12791)	1.5 min.
Phase 2 /	Appraised efficacy according to EN Phase 2 / Step 1	Bactericidal (EN 13727)	15 sec.
Step 1	(suspension tests)	Yeasticidal (EN 13624)	15 sec.
		Tuberculocidal (EN 14348)	30 sec.
		Mycobactericidal(EN 14348)	30 sec.
Phase 1	Appraisal according to EN Phase 1 (basic tests / suspension tests) without contamination; does not define the	Bactericidal (EN 1040) Yeasticidal (EN 1275)	15 sec.
	applicability of a product for a specific purpose	reasticidai (EN 1273)	15 sec.
	Certified Application Recommendations for Hygienic Hand Disinfection from the Association for Applied Hygiene (VAH). Based on suspension and practical tests.	Bactericidal / Yeasticidal	30 sec.
	Certified Application Recommendations for Surgical Hand Disinfection from the (VAH). Based on suspension and practical tests.	Bactericidal / Yeasticidal	1.5 min.
DGHM	Appraised efficacy against bacteria (in accordance with the German Society	MRSA / EHEC	30 sec.
	of Hygiene and Microbiology [DGHM]; within the certified bactericidal efficacy	Listeria / Salmonella	15 sec.
RKI	Recognized substance for decontamination according to § 18lfSG (Robert Koch- Institut [RKI])	Area A - vegetative bacteria; incl. mycobacteria (use twice for Tb)	30 sec.
ASTM (USA FDA)	Appraised efficacy in compliance with American Standard Test Methods (ASTM)	Bactericidal (FDA) Yeasticidal (FDA)	30 sec. 30 sec.

Viruses			
EN Phase 2 / Step 1	Efficacy according to EN Phase 2/ Step 1 (suspension tests)	Adeno virus (EN 14476)	1 min.
DVV	Efficacy against viruses (German Society for the Control of Viral Diseases [DVV])	Virucidal against enveloped viruses (incl. HBV, HIV, HCV, Ebola)	15 sec.
DVV	Appraised efficacy against	Influenza-A-Virus (avian)	15 sec.
	enveloped viruses	Influenza-A-Virus (human)	15 sec.
	(in accordance with DVV)	Herpes Simplex Virus	15 sec.
		type 1 and 2	
		SARS-CoV	30 sec.
DVV	Appraised efficacy against	Adenovirus	1 min.
	non-enveloped viruses (DVV)	Polyoma virus	5 min.
DVV	Appraised efficacy against enveloped viruses (DVV)	Rota virus	15 sec.

Compatibility with Bode products
The prior use of Sterillium® does not interfere with the durability of the most common single-use glove materials such as latex, nitrile and vinyl.

After opening In tightly closed container: 12 months

# Listing


- List of the Robert Koch-Institute (RKI), Effect area A
- List of disinfectants of the Association for Applied hygiene (former DGHM list)

Packing :	
Packing : Content	Carton
5 Ltrs	2 Jars
500 ml with Dispenser	20 bottles
100ml	50 bottles
100ml with Dispenser	50 bottles



# Surgical hand disinfection within 1.5 minutes

In 2005, a study for the first time investigated the efficacy of the alcohol-based hand disinfectant Sterillium® for surgical hand disinfection with different application times (3, 2, 1.5 and 1 minute) in comparison with the 3-minute reference treatment in accordance with EN 12791 (1).



At any point in time, Sterillium® with application times of 1.5 and 3 minutes was at least as effective as the reference treatment. Also, its bacterial colonisation rate approximately corresponded to the reference alcohol.

Even with an exposure time of 1.5 minutes only, Sterillium®'s immediate and sustained effect is still superior to the 3-minute reference procedure.

Further studies have confirmed the efficacy, even with additional application to forearms and elbows (2, 3). The Federal Institute for Drugs and Medical Devices (BfArM) authorised the reduced application time in 2005. Since 2007, the Association for Applied Hygiene (VAH) certifies surgical hand disinfection procedures with exposure times below the previously approved minimum application time of 3

Another study (4) with Sterillium® could proof that an exposure time of only 1.5 minutes does not influence the long-term effect of surgical hand disinfection. After 6 hours under the glove, Sterillium®'s colonisation rate was as low as the rate of the reference procedure with a 3-minute application time.

The exposure time of 1.5 minutes applies to the complete Sterillium® range of products and – depending on the preparation – meanwhile has become standard. The advantages include less consumption and, according to a study, time savings of approx. 1.000 working hours per year (5)

- 1 Kampf G, Ostermeyer C, Heeg P. Surgical hand disinfection with a propanol-based hand rub: equivalence of shorter application times. J Hosp Infect. 2005 Apr; 59(4):304-10.
  2 Suchomel M., Gnant G., Weinlich M., Rotter M. Surgical hand disinfection using alcohol: the effects of
- alcohol type, mode and duration of application. J Hosp Infect. 2009 Mar; 71(3):228-33.

  3 Kampf G, Ostermeyer C, Heeg P, Paulson D. Evaluation of two methods of determining the efficacies of
- two alcohol-based hand rubs for surgical hand antisepsis. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2006; 72:3856
- 4 Rotter M L, Kampf G, Suchomel M, Kundi, M Long-term effect of a 1.5 minute surgical hand rub with a propanol-based product on the resident hand flora Journal of Hospital Infection, Volume 66, issue 1 (May, 2007), p. 84-85.
- 5 Kampf G, Voss A, Widmer AF.Die chirurgische Händedesinfektion zwischen Tradition und Fortschritt Hyg Med 2006; 31 [7+8]: 316-321



Research for infection protection.

# Better compliance through good skin compatibility

Introducing Sterillium® to a medical intensive care unit (MICU) as alternative to washing increased compliance with hand disinfection by almost 20 per cent. These results were attributed to Sterillium®'s good skin tolerability, even with repeated application.

Source: Maury E, et al. Availability of an alcohol solution can improve hand disinfection compliance in an intensive care unit. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med., 2000, 162: 324-7.





# Surgical Hand Disinfection

#### **Dermal Tolerance**

 Parienti, J. J., P. Thibon, R. Heller, Y. Le Roux, P. von Theobald, H. Bensadoun, A. Bouvet, F. Lemarchand, and X.Le Coutour. 2002. Hand-rubbing with an aqueous alcoholic solution vs traditional surgical hand-scrubbing and 30-day surgical site infection rates - a randomized equivalence study. The Journal of the American Medical Association 288:722-727

#### **Spectrum of activity**

- 1.Kampf G, Hollingsworth A (2003) Validity of the four European test strains of prEN 12054 for the determination of comprehensive bactericidal activity of an alcohol-based hand rub.
- Journal of Hospital Infection 55: 226-231.

  2.Kampf G, Steinmann J, Rabenau H (2007) Suitability of vaccinia virus and bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV) to determine activity against enveloped viruses of three commonly used alcohol-based hand rubs. BMC Infectious Diseases 7: 5.

- **EN 12791 Surgical Hand Disinfection(Bactericidal effect)**1.Marchetti MG, Kampf G, Finzi G, Salvatorelli G (2003) Evaluation of the bactericidal effect of five products for surgical hand disinfection according to prEN 12054 and prEN 12791.

  Journal of Hospital Infection 54: 63-67.

  2.Kampf G, Ostermeyer C (2004) Influence of applied volume on efficacy of 3-minute surgical
- reference disinfection method prEN 12791. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 70
- 3.Kampf G, Heeg P, Ostermeyer C (2005) Surgical hand disinfection with a propanol-based hand rub: equivalence of shorter application times. Journal of Hospital Infection 59: 304-

- 310.

  4.Kampf G, Ostermeyer C, Heeg P, Paulson D (2006) Evaluation of two methods to determine the efficacy of alcohol-based hand rubs for surgical hand antisepsis. Applied and Environmental Microbiology72: 3856-3861.

  5.Hübner N-O, Kampf G, Kamp P, Kohlmann T, Kramer A (2006) Does a preceding hand wash and drying time after a surgical hand disinfection influence the efficacy of a propanol-based hand rub? BMC Microbiology 6: 57.

  6.Rotter ML, Kampf G, Suchomel M, Kundi M (2007) Population kinetics of the skin flora on gloved hands following surgical hand disinfection with 3 propanol-based hand rubs: a prospective, randomized, double-blind trial. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology 28: 346-350.
- 7.Rotter ML, Kampf G, Suchomel M, Kundi M (2007) Long term effect of a 1.5 minute surgical hand rub with a propanol-based product on the resident hand flora. Journal of Hospital Infection 66: 84-85
- 8.Kampf G, Ostermeyer C, Kohlmann T (2008) Bacterial population kinetics on hands during two consecutive surgical hand disinfection procedures. American Journal of Infection Control 36: 369-374.
- Kampf G, Ostermeyer C (2009) A 1 minute hand wash does not impair the efficacy of a propanol-based hand rub in two consecutive surgical hand disinfection procedures. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 28:1357-1362.
   Suchomel, M., G. Gnant, M. Weinlich, and M. Rotter. 2009. Surgical hand disinfection
- using alcohol: the effects of alcohol type, mode and duration of application. Journal of Hospital Infection 71:228-233.

  11.Hübner N-O, Kampf G, Löffler H, Kramer A (2006) Effect of a 1 minute hand wash on skin
- hydration and the bactericidal efficacy of standard alcohols for surgical hand disinfection. International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health 209: 285-291.

- Kampf G, Heeg P, Ostermeyer C (2005) Surgical hand disinfection with a propanol-based hand rub: equivalence of shorter application times. Journal of Hospital Infection59:304-310.
   Kampf G, Ostermeyer C, Heeg P, Paulson D (2006) Evaluation of two methods to determine
- the efficacy of alcohol-based hand rubs for surgical hand antisepsis. Applied and Environmental Microbiology72: 3856-3861.

  3.Hübner N-O, Kampf G, Kamp P, Kohlmann T, Kramer A (2006) Does a preceding hand wash
- and drying time after a surgical hand disinfection influence the efficacy of a propanol-based hand rub? BMC Microbiology 6: 57.

  4. Rotter ML, Kampf G, Suchomel M, Kundi M (2007) Long term effect of a 1.5 minute surgical hand rub with a propanol-based product on the resident hand flora. Journal of Hospital Infection 66: 84-85.
- Kampf G, Ostermeyer C, Kohlmann T (2008) Bacterial population kinetics on hands during two consecutive surgical hand disinfection procedures. American Journal of Infection
- Control 36: 369-374.

  6. Kampf G, Ostermeyer C (2009) A 1 minute hand wash does not impair the efficacy of a propanol-based hand rub in two consecutive surgical hand disinfection procedures. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 28:1357-1362.

  7. Weber, W. P., S. Reck, U. Neff, R. Saccilotto, M. Dangel, M. L. Rotter, R. Frei, D. Oertli, W. R. Marti, and A. F. Widmer. 2009. Surgical hand antisepsis with alcohol-based hand rub: comparison of effectiveness after 1.5 and 3 minutes of application. Infection Control and
- Hospital Epidemiology 30:420-426.

  8. Suchomel, M., G. Gnant, M. Weinlich, and M. Rotter. 2009. Surgical hand disinfection using alcohol: the effects of alcohol type, mode and duration of application. Journal of Hospital Infection 71:228-233.
- NKac G, Masmejean E, Gueneret M, Rodi A, Peyrard S, Podglajen I: Bactericidal efficacy of a 1.5 min surgical hand-rubbing protocol under in-use conditions. J Hosp Infect 2009, 72(2):

#### **Kinetics over 6 hours**

Control 36: 369-374.

- Rotter ML, Kampf G, Suchomel M, Kundi M (2007) Population kinetics of the skin flora on gloved hands following surgical hand disinfection with 3 propanol-based hand rubs: a prospective, randomized, double-blind trial. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology
- 2.Rotter ML, Kampf G, Suchomel M, Kundi M (2007) Long term effect of a 1.5 minute surgical hand rub with a propanol-based product on the resident hand flora. Journal of Hospital Infection 66: 84-85

# **Comparison with Scrubs**

- Comparison with Scruss

  1. Marchetti MG, Kampf G, Finzi G, Salvatorelli G (2003) Evaluation of the bactericidal effect of five products for surgical hand disinfection according to prEN 12054 and prEN 12791. Journal of Hospital Infection 54: 63-67.

  2. Kampf G, Heeg P, Ostermeyer C (2005) Surgical hand disinfection with a propanol-based hand rub: equivalence of shorter application times. Journal of Hospital Infection 59: 304-310.

  3. Kac G, Masmejean E, Gueneret M, Rodi A, Peyrard S, Podglajen I: Bactericidal efficacy of a 1.5 min surgical hand-rubbing protocol under in-use conditions. J Hosp Infect 2009, 72(2): 135-139.

- 135-139.

  4.Kampf G (2008) What is left to justify the use of chlorhexidine in hand hygiene? Journal of Hospital Infection 70 (suppl. 1): 27-34.

  5.Parienti, J. J., P. Thibon, R. Heller, Y. Le Roux, P. von Theobald, H. Bensadoun, A. Bouvet, F. Lemarchand, and X. Le Coutour. 2002. Hand-rubbing with an aqueous alcoholic solution vs traditional surgical hand-scrubbing and 30-day surgical site infection rates a randomized equivalence study. The Journal of the American Medical Association 288:722-727.

# **Hygienic Hand Disinfection**

#### **Dermal Tolerance**

- 1.Kampf G, Kramer A. Epidemiologic background of hand hygiene and evaluation of the most important agents for scrubs and rubs. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 2004;17(4):863-893.

  2.Kampf G, Löffler H. Dermatological aspects of a successful introduction and continuation of
- alcohol-based hand rubs for hygienic hand disinfection. Journal of Hospital Infection 2003
- 3.Kampf G, Muscatiello M, Häntschel D, Rudolf M. Dermal tolerance and effect on skin
- 4.Kampf G, Wigger-Alberti W, Wilhelm KP. Do atopics tolerate alcohol-based hand rubs? A
- 4.Kampf G, Wigger-Alberti W, Wilhelm KP. Do atopics tolerate aiconol-based nanu ruus? A prospective, controlled, randomized double-blind clinical trial. Acta Dermato-Venereologica 2006;86(2):140-143.
   5.Kramer A, Bernig T, Kampf G. Clinical double-blind trial on the dermal tolerance and user acceptability of six alcohol-based hand disinfectants for hygienic hand disinfection. Journal of the property of of Hospital Infection 2002;51(2):114-120.

#### EN 1500

- 1.Kampf G, Kramer A. Epidemiologic background of hand hygiene and evaluation of the most important agents for scrubs and rubs. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 2004;17(4):863-893.
  2.Kramer A, Rudolph P, Kampf G, Pittet D. Limited efficacy of alcohol-based hand gels. The Lancet 2002;359:1489-1490.
- Kampf G, Marschall S, Eggerstedt S, Ostermeyer C. Efficacy of ethanol-based hand foams using clinically relevant amounts: a cross-over controlled study among healthy volunteers.
   BMC Infectious Diseases 2010;10:78.

#### **Spectrum of activity (Bacteria, Viruses, Spores)**

- Kampf G, Kramer A. Epidemiologic background of hand hygiene and evaluation of the most important agents for scrubs and rubs. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 2004;17(4):863-893.
- S.Kampf G. Clostridium difficile what should be considered for an effective disinfection? Hygiene + Medizin 2008;33(4):153-159.

  3.Kampf G, Steinmann J, Rabenau H. Suitability of vaccinia virus and bovine viral diarrhea virus

- 3.Kampf G, Steinmann J, Rabenau H. Suitability of vaccinia virus and bovine viral diarrhea vir (BVDV) for determining activities of three commonly-used alcohol-based hand rubs against enveloped viruses. BMC Infectious Diseases 2007;7:5.
  4.Kampf G, Hollingsworth A. Validity of the four European test strains of prEN 12054 for the determination of comprehensive bactericidal activity of an alcohol-based hand rub. Journal of Hospital Infection 2003;55(3):226-231.
  5.Weber DJ, Sickbert-Bennett E, Gergen MF, Rutala WA. Efficacy of selected hand hygiene agents used to remove Bacillus attrophaeus (a surrogate of Bacillus anthracis) from contaminated hands. The Journal of the American Medical Association 2003;289(10): 1274-1277 1274-1277

#### Compliance

- Pittet D, Hugonnet S, Harbarth S, Monronga P, Sauvan V, Touveneau S, et al. Effectiveness
  of a hospital-wide programme to improve compliance with hand hygiene. The Lancet 2000;
- Kampf G, Kramer A. Epidemiologic background of hand hygiene and evaluation of the most important agents for scrubs and rubs. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 2004;17(4):863-893.
   Sax H, Allegranzi B, Uçkay I, Larson E, Boyce J, Pittet D. My five moments for hand hygiene:
- 3.5ax n, Allegranzi B, Uçkay i, Larson E, Boyce J, Pittet D. My five moments for hand hygien a user-centred design approach to understand, train, monitor and report hand hygiene. Journal of Hospital Infection 2007;67(1):9-21.
  4.Kampf G. The six golden rules to improve compliance in hand hygiene. Journal of Hospital Infection 2004;56(Suppl. 2):S3-S5.
  5.Kampf G, Reichel M, Feil Y, Eggerstedt S, Kaulfers P-M. Influence of rub-in technique on required application time and hand coverage in hygienic hand disinfection. BMC Infectious Diseases 2008;8:149.
  6. Kampf G, D iffler H, Dermatological aspects of a successful introduction and continuation or
- 6.Kampf G. Löffler H. Dermatological aspects of a successful introduction and continuation of alcohol-based hand rubs for hygienic hand disinfection. Journal of Hospital Infection 2003
- 7.Rupp ME, Fitzgerald T, Puumala S, Anderson JR, Craig R, Iwen PC, et al. Prospective controlled, cross-over trial of alcohol-based hand gel in critical care units. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology 2008;29(1):8-15.

# **Nosocomial Infections**

- Pittet D, Hugonnet S, Harbarth S, Monronga P, Sauvan V, Touveneau S, et al. Effectiveness
  of a hospital-wide programme to improve compliance with hand hygiene. The Lancet 2000;
- 356:1307-1312.

  2.Kampf G, Kramer A. Epidemiologic background of hand hygiene and evaluation of the most important agents for scrubs and rubs. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 2004;17(4):863-893.

  3.Rupp ME, Fitzgerald T, Puumala S, Anderson JR, Craig R, Iwen PC, et al. Prospective, controlled, cross-over trial of alcohol-based hand gel in critical care units. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology 2008;29(1):8-15.

- Weber, W. P., S. Reck, U. Neff, R. Saccilotto, M. Dangel, M. L. Rotter, R. Frei, D. Oertli, W. R. Marti, and A. F. Widmer. 2009. Surgical hand antisepsis with alcohol-based hand rub: comparison of effectiveness after 1.5 and 3 minutes of application. Infection Control and
- Hospital Epidemiology 30:420-426. 2.Kac G, Masmejean E, Gueneret M, Rodi A, Peyrard S, Podglajen I: Bactericidal efficacy of a 1.5 min surgical hand-rubbing protocol under in-use conditions. J Hosp Infect 2009, 72(2): 135-139.
- 3. Parienti, J. J., P. Thibon, R. Heller, Y. Le Roux, P. von Theobald, H. Bensadoun, A. Bouvet, F. Lemarchand, and X. Le Coutour. 2002. Hand-rubbing with an aqueous alcoholic solution vs traditional surgical hand-scrubbing and 30-day surgical site infection rates - a randomized equivalence study. The Journal of the American Medical Association 288:722-727.



Exclusively Manufactured & Marketed by:



# RAMAN & WEIL PVT. LTD.

Registered Office: 15, Chateau Marine, B-Rd., Churchgate, Mumbai - 20. Administrative Office: Room No. 36/37, 3rd Floor, Mittal Chambers, Opp. Inox Theatre, Nariman Point, Mumbai - 400 021. India Phone: 22049527, 22856397 Email: sales@ramanweil.com

Visit: www.ramanweil.com



In technical collaboration with:

# **BODE Chemie GmbH**

Melanchthonstraße 27

D-22525 Hamburg, Germany Must Visit: www.bode-science-center.com www.sterillium.com

Recipient : ISO 9001, ISO 14001, ISO 13485 EC Certificate of Conformity, WHO - GMP